

Report of the Key Opinion Leaders Meeting on Stem Cell-Derived Beta Cells

Jon Odorico MD¹, James Markmann, MD, PhD², Douglas Melton PhD³, Julia Greenstein PhD⁴,
Albert Hwa PhD⁵, Cristina Nostro PhD⁶, Alireza Rezania PhD⁷, Jose Oberholzer MD⁸,
Daniel Pipeleers MD, PhD⁹, Luhan Yang¹⁰, Chad Cowan PhD¹¹, Danwei Huangfu PhD¹²,
Dieter Egli PhD¹³, Uri Ben-David PhD¹⁴, Ludovic Vallier PhD¹⁵, Shane T. Grey PhD¹⁶,
Qizhi Tang PhD¹⁷, Bart Roep PhD¹⁸, Camilo Ricordi MD¹⁹, Ali Najji MD, PhD²⁰,
Giuseppe Orlando MD, PhD²¹, Daniel G. Anderson PhD²², Mark Poznansky MD, PhD²³,
Barbara Ludwig MD, PhD²⁴, Alice Tomei PhD¹⁹, Dale L. Greiner PhD²⁵,
Melanie Graham MPH, PhD²⁶, Melissa Carpenter PhD²⁷, Giovanni Migliaccio PhD²⁸,
Kevin D'Amour PhD⁷, Bernhard Hering MD²⁶, Lorenzo Piemonti MD²⁹, Thierry Berney MD³⁰,
Mike Rickels MD, MS²⁰, Thomas Kay PhD³¹, Ann Adams BFA²

¹ Division of Transplantation, Department of Surgery, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health; ²Department of Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital; ³Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Boston MA; ⁴Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation, New York NY; ⁵Joslin Diabetes Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston MA; ⁶University of Toronto, Toronto Canada; ⁷ViaCyte, Inc., San Diego, CA; ⁸University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago IL; ⁹Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels Belgium; ¹⁰eGenesis, Inc., Cambridge MA; ¹¹Harvard Medical School, Boston MA; ¹²Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York NY; ¹³Columbia University, New York NY; ¹⁴The Broad Institute, Cambridge MA; ¹⁵University of Cambridge, Cambridge UK; ¹⁶University of Sydney, Sydney Australia; ¹⁷UCSF Medical Center, San Francisco CA; ¹⁸Leiden

University Medical Center, Leiden Netherlands; ¹⁹University of Miami, Miami FL; ²⁰University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA; ²¹Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem NC; ²²Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge MA; ²³Vaccine and Immunotherapy Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston MA; ²⁴University Hospital Dresden, Dresden Germany; ²⁵University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester MA; ²⁶University of Minnesota, Minneapolis MN; ²⁷Carpenter Group Consulting, Seattle WA; ²⁸European Infrastructure for Translational Medicine, Amsterdam Netherlands; ²⁹Diabetes Research Institute, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan Italy; ³⁰ Geneva University, Geneva Switzerland; ³¹St. Vincent's Institute, Melbourne Australia

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE: No conflicts are present for all authors.

AUTHORSHIP: All authors participated in planning and writing the paper.

Corresponding Author:

James F. Markmann MD, PhD

Division of Transplantation

Massachusetts General Hospital

55 Fruit Street, WHT 517

Boston, MA 02114

jmarkmann@partners.org

Abstract

Beta cell replacement has the potential to restore euglycemia in patients with insulin dependent diabetes. While great progress has been made in establishing allogeneic islet transplantation from deceased donors as the standard of care for those with the most labile diabetes, it is also clear that the deceased donor organ supply cannot possibly treat all those who could benefit from restoration of a normal beta cell mass, especially if immunosuppression were not required. Against this background, the International Pancreas and Islet Transplant Association (IPITA) in collaboration with the Harvard Stem Cell Institute (HSCI), the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation (JDRF), and the Helmsley Foundation held a 2-day Key Opinion Leaders Meeting in Boston in 2016 to bring together experts in generating and transplanting beta cells derived from stem cells. The following summary highlights current technology, recent significant breakthroughs, unmet needs and roadblocks to stem-cell-derived beta cell therapies, with the aim of spurring future preclinical collaborative investigations and progress toward the clinical application of stem cell-derived beta cells.

Improved differentiation and characterization of stem cell-derived cells

A variety of in vitro differentiation protocols have been published,¹⁻⁷ based on the original work of Rezaia,¹ which can successfully differentiate human embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) into monohormonal insulin-expressing cells that phenotypically and functionally resemble adult beta cells. An important feature of these protocols is the efficient generation of PDX1 and NKX6.1 coexpressing pancreatic progenitors, through which improved yields of insulin-expressing beta cells can be obtained. The current focus is on improving the quality and functionality of these populations by identifying unique selectable markers and key signaling mechanisms that control the process.

Using glyco-capture-based proteomics to reveal potential cell surface markers, Cristina Nostro has identified a novel cell surface marker, glycoprotein-2, that distinguishes human pancreatic progenitors from pancreatic polyhormonal cells and can be used to phenotypically characterize and sort pancreatic progenitors, leading to enriched beta cell preparations in vitro.⁷ Differentiating stem cells into beta-like cells with functional characteristics of primary adult beta cells is critical to improving the technology.⁴⁻⁶ Although beta cell preparations reverse diabetes after transplantation in rodent models and demonstrate glucose-responsiveness on glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS) assays in vitro, on perfusion assays the insulin secretion kinetics and mitochondrial respiration are functionally immature. Ali Rezaia has compared RNA sequencing on stem cell-derived beta cells at various stages of differentiation to human islets to identify upstream signaling pathways that could be modulated to improve maturation.⁴ Using this information, Rezaia modified his recipe and now is producing stage-7 beta cells with two-phase insulin secretion and mitochondrial oxygen consumption rates that approach adult

islets, "although," he cautions, "the insulin secretory responses remain subpar compared to adult human islets."

Pancreatic islets are not comprised entirely of insulin-secreting beta cells, but include other hormone-secreting cells, such as alpha and delta cells. The islet-like clusters currently being produced in labs worldwide contain fewer functional beta cells than adult human islets. In addition, the long-term goal is to produce islet-like clusters that contain most or all of the islet endocrine cell populations with the aim of gaining better physiological control in vitro than has currently been achieved and more immediate reversal of diabetes in animals. Using inDrops™ (1CellBio Inc., Cambridge, MA), a microfluidic-based platform for high throughput single cell RNA sequencing, Douglas Melton's group has recently published a transcriptomic atlas of human and mouse pancreas that reveals the inter and intracellular population structure of islets, including 400 novel previously unknown, potentially secreted proteins.⁸

Jose Oberholzer is studying the functionality and heterogeneity of stem cell-derived beta cells using biochip-based micro- and nanofluidic, multiparametric perfusion assays designed to study beta cell physiology and to phenotype islet surrogates from various sources.⁹ The islet biochips integrate islet micro and nanoperfusion with multiparametric imaging technology and measure not only insulin secretion kinetics but also insulin-secretion coupling which is determined by measuring calcium influx and mitochondrial potentials. Integrated high-throughput islet arrays and multiplexing have significantly increased the analytical power of these biochips, providing better understanding of the heterogeneity of stem cell-derived islet surrogates. Oberholzer's beta cell testing facility is funded by the Juvenile Diabetes Research

Foundation (JDRF) and is available to test various beta cell or islet surrogate populations. However, the correlation of test results with in vivo function is still under study.

Determining the functionality of stem cell-derived beta cells is a challenge Daniel Pipeleers has studied by comparing the synthetic, storage, and secretory capabilities of insulin in stem cell-derived beta cells with those of human pancreatic beta cells, which can correct hyperglycemia following clinical intraportal transplantation in mice.¹⁰ Their analysis was conducted on implants that were generated by Viacyte stem-cell derived progenitor cells in a subcutaneous Encaptra device; implants retrieved from recipient mice and newly formed beta cells were compared with those in human pancreatic islet cell isolates.¹¹ Beta cell number and functional maturation, the components responsible for homeostatic control of insulin in a functional beta cell mass, were followed over 50 weeks. Other endocrine and nonendocrine cells that affect outcomes in rodents were also quantified.¹¹ Emphasizing the utility of developing standardized markers and models, Pipeleers stressed that comparisons are not possible with data reported in the existing literature, because differing methods and reference values have been used and often insufficient information is provided on beta cell number and functional maturation.

Genome editing - future impact on the field of beta cell replacement

In the 1990s, efforts to develop xenotransplantation as a renewable source of organs and tissues were thwarted by immunological problems and the risk of human infection by porcine endogenous retroviruses (PERVs), which reside in the pig genome. Benign to pigs, PERVs are potentially injurious to humans. Luhan Yang reported her experience with the CRISPR-Cas9 RNA-guided genome editing technology, which has allowed up to 65 simultaneous edits, where

previously the maximum was 5, permitting the removal of all copies of the PERV *pol* gene from the pig genome.^{12, 13} CRISPR-Cas9 has provided a powerful tool to genetically modify porcine somatic cells, rendering them more compatible with the human immune system. Yang acknowledged the task of producing multiple edits to substantially reduce immunogenicity takes time, but noted the accuracy and multiplexability of the CRISPR-Cas system has injected new energy into the field that many believe will accelerate progress.

CRISPR-Cas9 provides an unprecedented opportunity to create stem cell-based disease-in-a-dish models. Chad Cowan detailed his discovery of SNP rs12740374, a mutation located in the noncoding regulatory region of *Sortilin*, a gene whose expression suppresses circulating LDL cholesterol. This mutation creates a transcription factor binding site that leads to increased expression of *Sortilin*. Challenged to prove this anomaly is present in humans, Cowan used CRISPR-Cas9 to create isogenic pairs of human stem cells, one with the mutation and one without, then differentiated them and made phenotypic comparisons. He showed that rs12740374 SNP in the *Sortilin* noncoding regulatory region exhibited a similar biological effect in human cells as he saw in the stem cell lines. Cowan also is using CRISPR-Cas9 to alter stem cells to reduce immunogenicity by eliminating the expression of HLA antigens. He further proposes to reduce HLA Class I expression by mutating beta2 microglobulin and HLA Class II expression by mutating the *CIITA* gene. Additionally, he plans to knock-in HLA-G to protect against NK cells. The long-term aim is to produce an off-the-shelf universal donor cell line for replacement therapies.

Danwei Huangfu employed the CRISPR-Cas9 system to develop inducible Cas9 H1 and HUES8 cell lines. Using these lines to knock out genes important in murine pancreas development, she then studied their role in human pancreas development.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ She is developing genetic models relevant to diabetes and pancreas, including both forward and reverse genetic approaches in hESCs and hiPSCs. For example, *Neurogenin-3 (NGN3)* mutations in patients with neonatal diabetes have greatly reduced numbers of beta cells. Huangfu introduced frameshift mutations into *NGN3* to create a variety of different lines, including a mutant line in which almost the entire *NGN3* gene is deleted. She also made disease-mimicking lines to recapitulate what might happen in patients. The phenotypes were similar across all lines. One of the phenotypes was specific to the last stage of beta cell differentiation; during the early stages everything was normal but in late stages beta cell formation was severely affected. Immunostaining of C-peptide+ cells that coexpress NKX6.1 was observed in wild type cells, whereas it was greatly reduced in the mutant lines.

Dieter Egli pioneered an alternative approach to reprogramming human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) termed somatic cell nuclear transfer. The nucleus is removed from a somatic cell, inserted into an enucleated ovum, and then the somatic cell nucleus is reprogrammed by the host cell. Using this approach, in combination with elements of differentiation protocols published by Reznick,^{1,4} Melton,⁸ and Nostro,⁷ he routinely produces 60% C-peptide positive cells. Egli does report differences in differentiation efficiencies between isogenic iPS cells and nuclear transfer ES cells, necessitating cell line quality control. Quality controls based on functional assays are most informative, as isogenic cell lines have very similar gene expression and DNA methylation patterns, but can still have profound functional differences. The greater developmental

competence of nuclear transfer ESCs compared to iPSCs is consistent with findings by others who generate mice from somatic cells. Repeated reprogramming of somatic cells to ESCs by nuclear transfer does not alter the efficiency of the cloning, but developmental competence decreases with repeated iPSC generation from somatic cells.^{17, 18} In his hands, the nuclear transfer ESCs more efficiently differentiate into beta cells and can protect mouse models of diabetes. Finally, the use of reprogrammed autologous cells would be expected to have some advantages since it would reduce or obviate the need for immune protection.

Avoiding the tumorigenicity of stem cell-derived beta cells after transplantation is a critical step in preclinical testing. The 3 principles of cell culture that can prevent teratoma formation and development of genetically aberrant cells are: (1) minimizing transfer of undifferentiated cells, (2) minimizing culture stress, and (3) routinely monitoring the genomic stability of cells in culture for early signs of aberration. Even with the best differentiation protocols, a few undifferentiated cells often remain among the differentiated progeny. Uri Ben-David has identified multiple small molecule strategies to remove these undifferentiated cells and eliminate tumorigenic potential.¹⁹⁻²² One of these molecules, PluriSIn1, specifically inhibits Steroyl CoA Desaturase 1 (SCD1), the key enzyme involved in synthesizing the monounsaturated fatty acid oleate. HESCs and hiPSCs depend on this enzymatic activity. Inhibition by PluriSIn1 leads to ER stress, protein synthesis attenuation, and apoptosis.¹⁹ Importantly, pretreatment with PluriSIn 1 completely prevents teratoma formation.^{19, 21, 23}

Immunogenicity

Shane Grey presented a broad framework for understanding the barriers imposed by immune mechanisms when stem cell-derived beta cells are implanted into patients. Grey provided concrete examples of allo- and auto-immunity operating in mouse islet models. Of note, he strongly encouraged the stem cell community to start testing their beta-cell preparations using in vivo models of human immunity, such as humanized mice,²⁴⁻²⁶ to hasten the discovery of hidden immunological problems and to develop ways to subvert immune mechanisms.

Qizhi Tang reviewed the classic auto- and alloimmune mechanisms associated with islet graft rejection in T1D, followed by a detailed presentation of strategies that promote immune tolerance by altering the balance between effector auto- and alloimmune T cells and regulatory T cells. She highlighted the Immune Tolerance Network study of Alefacept to selectively deplete memory T cells²⁷ and the University of California San Francisco (UCSF) phase I study of regulatory T cell therapy²⁸ in T1D subjects. She pointed to a lesson learned from the UCSF experience with clinical islet transplantation, namely, potent immune modulating therapies are vital to long-term insulin independence.²⁹ Tang postulates that immunosuppression-free survival of stem cell-derived beta cell transplants can be achieved using a 3-pronged approach: (1) controlling inflammation immediately after transplant, (2) minimizing immunogenicity by either encapsulation or immunoengineering, and (3) promoting immune regulation.

Bart Roep reported the vulnerability of human embryonic stem cell-derived beta cells to innate and adaptive auto- and alloimmune responses in T1D.³⁰⁻³² Although embryonic stem cell-derived progenitors are hypoimmunogenic, in vivo differentiated endocrine cells are

vulnerable to adaptive immune responses, particularly in the inflammatory milieu of diabetes. The ideal encapsulation device should be able to prevent cellular, antibody, and complement-mediated attack. Progenitor cells used in the ViaCyte trial showed blunted donor-specific immune responses compared to matured endocrine cells. This finding suggests that cells put into capsules and implanted in patients do not activate or cause changes, at least that can be measured with current tools.

Alternative Transplant Sites and Platforms

Transplanting islets into the portal venous circulation stimulates an instantaneous blood-mediated inflammatory reaction (IBMIR) and exposes the islets to high levels of toxic immunosuppressive drugs. Many are searching for alternative minimally invasive, well-vascularized and retrievable sites. Camillo Ricordi views the omentum as a potentially advantageous site for implanting stem cell-derived beta cells. He presented a novel technique for layering islets, coagulated autologous plasma, and thrombin onto the omentum via a laparoscopic approach in a patient with T1D. The initial success of this strategy has inspired further study of the omentum as a potential site for transplantation of both free and microencapsulated insulin-producing cells, including stem cell-derived islet-like clusters. Furthermore, this "biodegradable" scaffold technology platform may allow the inclusion of strategies to eliminate systemic immunosuppression, such as conformal encapsulation and/or local immunomodulation with cotransplantation of immunomodulatory cells, including MSCs, Tregs, and so forth. Additional studies are under way to further test and validate this novel implantation approach, which may provide a safe alternative to intrahepatic islet transplantation.³³⁻³⁵

Ali Naji underscored the need for alternative sites for transplanting insulin-producing cells. Summarizing the first University of Pennsylvania experience with the Collaborative Islet Transplant (CIT) Consortium, he recounted the success of the CIT protocol in which islets were transplanted intrahepatically, despite the ultimate loss of islet mass leading to suboptimal insulin independence rates.³⁵ Loss of islet mass after intrahepatic transplantation is thought to be multifactorial, including IBMIR, amyloid deposition, and nonimmunologic consequences of the intraportal site.³⁶ He went on to propose subcutaneous (SQ) implantation of islets as a suitable alternative.³⁷ Although prior studies have shown the SQ site to be poor for islet engraftment, most likely the result of poor vascularity, Naji presented countervailing data suggesting that SQ implantation could prove viable. He provided experimental evidence of a novel proprietary factor, which, when added to an islet graft, significantly enhanced the function of a minimal mass of islets in rodent allogeneic and syngeneic islet transplant models. Further studies are in progress to elucidate the mechanisms underlying these potentially important observations.

Giuseppe Orlando is a leading proponent of using decellularized organs as a scaffold for bioengineering new organs for transplantation. The organs first are perfusion-decellularized, leaving a scaffold of extracellular matrix, which is used as a template for regenerating the cellular compartment, ideally from the patient's own derived stem cells.^{38, 39} Experimental attempts to reseed nonhuman decellularized pancreatic grafts with islets, ductal cells, and endothelial cells have been reported.⁴⁰ Orlando shared his experience with decellularized human pancreatic grafts reseeded with endothelial and endocrine cells.⁴¹ Whether such grafts can remain viable, free of thrombosis, and capable of sustaining functional islet tissue remains to be determined. A significant challenge to the clinical application of this approach is the need for an

inexhaustible source of extracellular matrix.⁴² An ideal platform might involve harvesting extracellular matrix scaffolds from pig organs, which then could be seeded with specialized cells, such as islets and endothelial cells derived from patient-derived iPSCs.⁴³ Interestingly, porcine pancreatic extracellular matrix-based hydrogels may also be incorporated within microcapsules to enhance islet viability, function, and lifespan, and ultimately improve islet encapsulated technology.⁴⁴

Encapsulation technologies

The JDRF, in conjunction with The Helmsley Charitable Trust and other foundations and agencies, funds research to support preventive, restorative, and replacement therapies for T1D. The current emphasis on transplanting insulin-producing tissues into patients with T1D while avoiding immunosuppression has motivated the development of encapsulation systems for immunologically protected stem cell-derived beta cells. Immunologist, Julia Greenstein, summarized JDRF's leadership in the area of encapsulation which has brought together a 52-member Encapsulation Consortium comprised of principal investigators from leading universities worldwide and 5 companies all involved in novel biomaterials development, micro and macro device design, tools standardization, and preclinical and clinical translational studies.⁴⁵ The purpose of the consortium is to identify research priorities, share data and protocols, including cross team comparisons of successful technologies, and accelerate progress by fostering multidisciplinary collaboration among academia and industry.

The vulnerability of allogeneic and xenogeneic islet grafts to host immune and autoimmune attack is a primary challenge in islet transplantation and is being addressed through

the development of immuno-isolating microencapsulation and macroencapsulation systems. A decade ago Daniel Anderson's team designed a microcapsule that could support islet immune isolation. Recognizing that fibrotic responses contribute significantly to loss of function of microencapsulated islets over time, they sought to identify biomaterials and a capsule architecture that resists fibrosis. First, they discovered that capsules above 1.5 mm demonstrate significantly greater resistance to fibrosis in rodents and primates, causing them to limit capsule size.⁴⁶ Since alginate, used as a base polymer material for decades, supports islet function in immune-deficient animal models, but fails in animals with strong immune systems, including primates and humans, they decided to modify the chemistry of alginate to resist fibrosis. Using a combinatorial approach, they created a library consisting of hundreds of chemically modified materials from which they identified a number of modifications that could significantly reduce fibrosis.⁴⁷ With these capsules, they have been able to provide long-term islet survival in mice using both rat islets and stem cell-derived beta cells.⁴⁸

Mark Poznansky uses chemorepellents, including chemokines such as CXCL12, to improve microencapsulation systems to reduce or eliminate the need for immunosuppression. Islets are placed in CXCL12-releasing alginate microcapsules, creating an elution gradient that prevents immune destruction. Poznansky showed that CXCL12-impregnated microbeads can repel cytotoxic T cells, along with other immune cells, and attract and retain Tregs and M2 macrophages around the encapsulation site. CXCL12 also functions as a signaling molecule, exerting prosurvival effects on the islets themselves. In preclinical research in collaboration with other JDRF-supported scientists, including James Markmann and Ji Lei, Poznansky demonstrated that CXCL12-impregnated alginate microcapsules protect and prolong the survival

of transplanted allogeneic islets in diabetic mice without systemic immunosuppression.^{49, 50} Preclinical studies in NHPs have progressed from transplanting blank (CXCL12 negative) microencapsulated autologous and allogeneic islets in healthy animals, to transplanting CXCL12-positive microencapsulated allogeneic and xenogeneic islets in diabetic animals, without significant adverse events related to microbead implantation.

Remarkable progress was reported by Barbara Ludwig with a device for macroencapsulation that has undergone extensive testing in rats, NHPs, and humans in both allogeneic and xenogeneic settings. The \square BetaO₂ device for macroencapsulation is comprised of 3 compartments layered in a disc-shaped capsule. The outer 2 compartments house the islets, which are immobilized in alginate and then integrated into the capsule. A middle compartment houses an oxygen reservoir that can be intermittently replenished via a subcutaneous gas access port using an external O₂ delivery device. Adequate oxygen delivery to the islets, in addition to well developed vascularization of the surrounding tissues, is seen as key to the successful application of this system. A preclinical safety and efficacy trial in NHPs was conducted without any detectable transmission of pathogens to the recipient animals and with excellent readouts including blood glucose levels and insulin secretory responses.⁵¹ The lack of fibrosis demonstrated in this model upon explantation is striking, and probably the result of the robust health of the islets. A pilot clinical trial has been conducted in an allogeneic setting in humans, where the device was transplanted preperitoneally with a marginal mass of 2000 islets per kg body weight. The patient did not receive any immunosuppression, yet maintained excellent glycemic parameters for 10 months.⁵² No change in islet autoantibody or donor alloantibody status has been observed. A follow-up pilot clinical trial with human islets is under way.⁵³

Alice Tomei is developing conformal coating, a new microencapsulation platform technology. The technique wraps differently sized islets with uniformly thin (15 μm) hydrogels. Conformal coating reduces the size and volume of the encapsulated islet to a few microns, comparable to a naked islet, thus reducing the volume of transplanted material and minimizing diffusion barriers to insulin, oxygen, and nutrients.⁵⁴ Instead of placing large free-floating capsules in the peritoneal cavity, the goal is to put very thin capsules into alternative confined and well-vascularized sites. Preclinical studies revealed physiological glucose-stimulated insulin secretion and oxygen consumption rates comparable to naked islets. Most importantly, despite their minimal thickness, conformal coatings confer immunoprotection in fully MHC mismatched allografts. Tomei plans to move the technology into clinical trials after altering the composition of the hydrogel to make it cGMP-compliant, xenoprotein free, and nontoxic.

Efficient Pre-IND Study Design of First-in-Man Combined Biologic/Device Clinical Product

Kevin D'Amour shared Viacyte's successful experience in steering a combined pluripotent stem cell and encapsulation technology through preclinical studies and Phase I clinical trial. ViaCyte, Inc., is developing a stem cell-based islet replacement therapy for treating patients with diabetes. The therapy is a combination biologic/device product, called VC-01, comprised of pancreatic endoderm cells (PEC) encapsulated within a retrievable delivery device known as the Encaptra® Cell Delivery System. After implantation in immune-deficient rodent models, the encapsulated progenitor cells differentiate into glucose-responsive insulin-secreting cells. The renewable starting material for cell product manufacturing is human embryonic stem

cells that are directed to differentiate to the pancreatic endoderm cell product using scalable processes.⁵⁵ The bio-stable delivery device is designed to fully contain cells and protect them from immune attack, with the goal of eliminating the need for immunosuppressant drugs.⁵⁶ Nonclinical evaluation of the efficacy of the product was conducted in immunocompromised rodent models and included therapeutic proof-of-concept studies in diabetic mice. Efficacy studies evaluated the differentiation of PEC-01 cells into glucose-responsive insulin-producing cells by measuring glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS) response and the capacity of grafts to regulate blood glucose in the host. Safety assessment included 3 GLP studies which characterized the toxicity, tumorigenicity, and tolerability associated with the VC-01. We anticipate additional details regarding islet survival and physiologic function upon completion of follow-up of the subjects participating in this trial.

Clinical Targets

Unmet Needs in Diabetes

Defining the study population is a central aspect of clinical trial design. Bernhard Hering advocated the importance of the risk-benefit ratio and a sufficiently powered study to ensure the scientific objectives. *Stem cell-derived beta cell replacement technologies are evolving rapidly.* The individual characteristics of each investigational cell therapy product and the technologies used to prevent rejection will determine the patient group in whom product activity, whether beneficial or adverse, can be best detected and for whom participation in an early-phase stem cell-derived beta cell trial is the best option. Since early phase I pilot trials are likely to be small, single arm and open label studies, with outcomes compared to baseline status, the anticipated metabolic and clinical effects must be sufficiently robust to be detected in the

selected study population if meaningful information is to be obtained. *Medical treatments for diabetes are also evolving rapidly.* Improved educational programs involving behavioral therapies, insulin analogs, sensor-augmented insulin pumps, and other diabetes technologies will help greater numbers of insulin-treated diabetic patients meet treatment goals. For diabetes complicated by impaired awareness of hypoglycemia and recurrent severe hypoglycemia, extreme glycemic lability, and progressive microvascular lesions, *transplant interventions should be considered.*

Allogeneic and Autologous Modes of Pluripotent Stem Cell Transplantation in Beta Cell Replacement. Which way to go?

Lorenzo Piemonti addressed issues related to the use of an allogeneic or autologous approach to designing stem cell-derived beta cell replacement therapies for T1D, T2D, or pancreatogenic diabetes, a decision complicated by many factors, including the molecular, genetic and biologic diversity of these populations⁵⁷ and the regulatory and economic impact of selecting a particular path.⁵⁸ The choice has important implications for the manufacturing process, the associated infrastructure, and could even affect the design of preclinical studies. Lack of effective methods to induce immune tolerance to maintain graft survival is a major roadblock for cell-based therapies, and poor stem cell survival and engraftment after delivery is partly due to immune responses triggered by host immunity.⁵⁹ The great advantage of patient-derived autologous cells is avoidance of the host versus graft immunological reaction. However, this advantage may be limited by the autoimmune response in the context of T1D.⁶⁰ Those

bothered by the possibility of choosing the incorrect path should be comforted by the fact that their innovation is certain to find utility in some patient subgroup.

Other faculty reviewed advanced humanized mouse models (Greiner)^{24, 61, 62}; best practices in nonhuman transplantation models (Graham)⁶³⁻⁶⁵; regulatory considerations in the United States (Carpenter) and EU (Migliaccio), respectively; as well as the need for new global standards for stem cell research and clinical transplantation (Vallier).⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸

Future Perspectives

Recent advances toward the successful translation of stem cell-derived beta cells for diabetic patients have been impressive, yet there is still much work to be done (**Table 1**). The consistent generation of pure populations of fully functional beta cells remains elusive and the question persists as to whether pure beta cells or a mix of beta cells with other islet endocrine cells will yield better performance. Perhaps just as problematic is the need to develop an immuno-isolation device that provides a hospitable environment while preventing immune-mediated damage and to define an optimal site for its implantation. Despite these remaining hurdles, progress has been broad based and tangible, with many groups working toward early phase trials in the near term. Moreover, the genome-editing revolution is likely to impact this field in a positive way in the near future by creating better disease models and producing safer and more effective therapeutic cell populations. It seems inevitable that stem cell-derived beta cells will play an important role in the care of diabetic patients within the next decade.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the generous support for this Workshop provided by the International Pancreas and Islet Transplant Association, the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation/ Helmsley Charitable Trust, Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, Massachusetts General Hospital Transplant Center, Evotec, Preclinical Medevice Innovations (PMI), BetaO2 Technologies, Novartis and Merck.

ACCEPTED

References

1. Rezania A, Bruin JE, Arora P, et al. Reversal of diabetes with insulin-producing cells derived in vitro from human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2014;32:1121-33.
2. Pagliuca FW, Millman JR, Gurtler M, et al. Generation of functional human pancreatic beta cells in vitro. *Cell.* 2014;159:428-39.
3. Russ HA, Parent AV, Ringler JJ, et al. Controlled induction of human pancreatic progenitors produces functional beta-like cells in vitro. *EMBO J.* 2015;34:1759-72.
4. Bruin JE, Rezania A, Kieffer TJ. Replacing and safeguarding pancreatic beta cells for diabetes. *Sci Transl Med.* 2015;7:316ps23.
5. Kieffer TJ. Closing in on Mass Production of Mature Human Beta Cells. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2016;18:699-702.
6. Johnson JD. The quest to make fully functional human pancreatic beta cells from embryonic stem cells: climbing a mountain in the clouds. *Diabetologia.* 2016;59:2047-57.
7. Cogger KF, Sinha A, Sarangi F, et al. Glycoprotein 2 is a specific cell surface marker of human pancreatic progenitors. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8:331.
8. Baron M, Veres A, Wolock SL, et al. A Single-Cell Transcriptomic Map of the Human and Mouse Pancreas Reveals Inter- and Intra-cell Population Structure. *Cell Syst.* 2016;3:346-60 e4.
9. Nourmohammadzadeh M, Xing Y, Lee JW, et al. A microfluidic array for real-time live-cell imaging of human and rodent pancreatic islets. *Lab Chip.* 2016;16:1466-72.
10. Pipeleers D, Keymeulen B. Boost for Alginate Encapsulation in Beta Cell Transplantation. *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;27:247-8.

11. Pipeleers D, Robert T, De Mesmaeker I, Ling Z. Concise Review: Markers for Assessing Human Stem Cell-Derived Implants as beta-Cell Replacement in Type 1 Diabetes. *Stem Cells Transl Med.* 2016;5:1338-44.
12. Yang L, Guell M, Niu D, et al. Genome-wide inactivation of porcine endogenous retroviruses (PERVs). *Science.* 2015;350:1101-4.
13. Niu D, Wei HJ, Lin L, et al. Inactivation of porcine endogenous retrovirus in pigs using CRISPR-Cas9. *Science.* 2017;357:1303-7.
14. Gonzalez F, Zhu Z, Shi ZD, et al. An iCRISPR platform for rapid, multiplexable, and inducible genome editing in human pluripotent stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2014;15:215-26.
15. Zhu Z, Li QV, Lee K, et al. Genome Editing of Lineage Determinants in Human Pluripotent Stem Cells Reveals Mechanisms of Pancreatic Development and Diabetes. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2016;18:755-68.
16. Shi ZD, Lee K, Yang D, et al. Genome Editing in hPSCs Reveals GATA6 Haploinsufficiency and a Genetic Interaction with GATA4 in Human Pancreatic Development. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2017;20:675-88 e6.
17. Gao S, Zheng C, Chang G, et al. Unique features of mutations revealed by sequentially reprogrammed induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Commun.* 2015;6:6318.
18. Wakayama S, Kohda T, Obokata H, et al. Successful serial recloning in the mouse over multiple generations. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2013;12:293-7.
19. Ben-David U, Benvenisty N. Chemical ablation of tumor-initiating human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Protoc.* 2014;9:729-40.

20. Ben-David U, Cowell IG, Austin CA, Benvenisty N. Brief reports: Controlling the survival of human pluripotent stem cells by small molecule-based targeting of topoisomerase II alpha. *Stem Cells*. 2015;33:1013-9.
21. Ben-David U, Gan QF, Golan-Lev T, et al. Selective elimination of human pluripotent stem cells by an oleate synthesis inhibitor discovered in a high-throughput screen. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2013;12:167-79.
22. Ben-David U, Nudel N, Benvenisty N. Immunologic and chemical targeting of the tight-junction protein Claudin-6 eliminates tumorigenic human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Commun*. 2013;4:1992.
23. Zhang L, Pan Y, Qin G, et al. Inhibition of stearyl-coA desaturase selectively eliminates tumorigenic Nanog-positive cells: improving the safety of iPS cell transplantation to myocardium. *Cell Cycle*. 2014;13:762-71.
24. Brehm MA BR, Verma M, Shultz LD, Greiner DL. Humanized mice in translational immunology. In: Tan SL, ed. *Translational Immunology: Mechanisms and Pharmacological Approaches*. Waltham: MA: Academic Press; 2016:285-326.
25. Shultz LD, Brehm MA, Garcia-Martinez JV, Greiner DL. Humanized mice for immune system investigation: progress, promise and challenges. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2012;12:786-98.
26. Kenney LL, Shultz LD, Greiner DL, Brehm MA. Humanized Mouse Models for Transplant Immunology. *Am J Transplant*. 2016;16:389-97.
27. Rigby MR, DiMeglio LA, Rendell MS, et al. Targeting of memory T cells with alefacept in new-onset type 1 diabetes (T1DAL study): 12 month results of a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2 trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2013;1:284-94.

28. Bluestone JA, Buckner JH, Fitch M, et al. Type 1 diabetes immunotherapy using polyclonal regulatory T cells. *Sci Transl Med*. 2015;7:315ra189.
29. Posselt AM, Bellin MD, Tavakol M, et al. Islet transplantation in type 1 diabetics using an immunosuppressive protocol based on the anti-LFA-1 antibody efalizumab. *Am J Transplant*. 2010;10:1870-80.
30. van der Torren C, Zaldumbide A, Roelen DL, et al. Innate and adaptive immunity to human beta cell lines: implications for beta cell therapy. *Diabetologia*. 2016;59:170-5.
31. van der Torren CR, Zaldumbide A, Duinkerken G, et al. Immunogenicity of human embryonic stem cell-derived beta cells. *Diabetologia*. 2017;60:126-33.
32. Huurman VA, Hilbrands R, Pinkse GG, et al. Cellular islet autoimmunity associates with clinical outcome of islet cell transplantation. *PLoS One*. 2008;3:e2435.
33. Berman DM, Molano RD, Fotino C, et al. Bioengineering the Endocrine Pancreas: Intraomental Islet Transplantation Within a Biologic Resorbable Scaffold. *Diabetes*. 2016;65:1350-61.
34. Shapiro AM, Pokrywczynska M, Ricordi C. Clinical pancreatic islet transplantation. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2017;13:268-77.
35. Baidal DA, Ricordi C, Berman DM, et al. Bioengineering of an Intraabdominal Endocrine Pancreas. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376:1887-9.
36. Liu C, Koeberlein B, Feldman MD, et al. Accumulation of intrahepatic islet amyloid in a nonhuman primate transplant model. *Endocrinology*. 2012;153:1673-83.
37. Rickels MR, Fuller C, Dalton-Bakes C, et al. Restoration of Glucose Counterregulation by Islet Transplantation in Long-standing Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2015;64:1713-8.

38. Orlando G, Booth C, Wang Z, et al. Discarded human kidneys as a source of ECM scaffold for kidney regeneration technologies. *Biomaterials*. 2013;34:5915-25.
39. Gifford S, Zambon JP, Orlando G. Recycling organs - growing tailor-made replacement kidneys. *Regen Med*. 2015;10:913-5.
40. Goh SK, Bertera S, Olsen P, et al. Perfusion-decellularized pancreas as a natural 3D scaffold for pancreatic tissue and whole organ engineering. *Biomaterials*. 2013;34:6760-72.
41. Peloso A, Urbani L, Cravedi P, et al. The Human Pancreas as a Source of Protolerogenic Extracellular Matrix Scaffold for a New-generation Bioartificial Endocrine Pancreas. *Ann Surg*. 2016;264:169-79.
42. Orlando G, Soker S, Stratta RJ. Organ bioengineering and regeneration as the new Holy Grail for organ transplantation. *Ann Surg*. 2013;258:221-32.
43. Salvatori M, Peloso A, Katari R, et al. Semi-xenotransplantation: the regenerative medicine-based approach to immunosuppression-free transplantation and to meet the organ demand. *Xenotransplantation*. 2015;22:1-6.
44. Chaimov D, Baruch L, Krishtul S, Meivar-Levy I, Ferber S, Machluf M. Innovative encapsulation platform based on pancreatic extracellular matrix achieve substantial insulin delivery. *J Control Release*. 2017;257:91-101.
45. Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation. <http://www.jdrf.org/blog/2016/12/06/new-voices-heard-encapsulation-consortium-meeting/>. JDRF 2018. Accessed December 26, 2017.
46. Veisheh O, Doloff JC, Ma M, et al. Size- and shape-dependent foreign body immune response to materials implanted in rodents and non-human primates. *Nat Mater*. 2015;14:643-51.

47. Vegas AJ, Veiseh O, Doloff JC, et al. Combinatorial hydrogel library enables identification of materials that mitigate the foreign body response in primates. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2016;34:345-52.
48. Vegas AJ, Veiseh O, Gurtler M, et al. Long-term glycemic control using polymer-encapsulated human stem cell-derived beta cells in immune-competent mice. *Nat Med.* 2016;22:306-11.
49. Papeta N, Chen T, Vianello F, et al. Long-term survival of transplanted allogeneic cells engineered to express a T cell chemorepellent. *Transplantation.* 2007;83:174-83.
50. Chen T, Yuan J, Duncanson S, et al. Alginate encapsulant incorporating CXCL12 supports long-term allo- and xenoislet transplantation without systemic immune suppression. *Am J Transplant.* 2015;15:618-27.
51. Morozov VA, Ludwig S, Ludwig B, et al. Islet cell transplantation from Gottingen minipigs to cynomolgus monkeys: analysis of virus safety. *Xenotransplantation.* 2016;23:320-7.
52. Ludwig B, Reichel A, Steffen A, et al. Transplantation of human islets without immunosuppression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2013;110:19054-8.
53. An Open Label, Pilot Investigation, to Assess the Safety and Efficacy of Transplantation of Macro-encapsulated Human Islets Within the Bioartificial Pancreas Beta-Air in Patients With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. [www.clinicaltrials.gov NCT02064309](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/NCT02064309). 2017. Accessed January 16, 2018.
54. Tomei AA, Manzoli V, Fraker CA, et al. Device design and materials optimization of conformal coating for islets of Langerhans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2014;111:10514-9.
55. Schulz TC, Young HY, Agulnick AD, et al. A scalable system for production of functional pancreatic progenitors from human embryonic stem cells. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:e37004.

56. Faleo G, Lee K, Nguyen V, Tang Q. Assessment of Immune Isolation of Allogeneic Mouse Pancreatic Progenitor Cells by a Macroencapsulation Device. *Transplantation*. 2016;100:1211-8.
57. Kim K, Doi A, Wen B, et al. Epigenetic memory in induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature*. 2010;467:285-90.
58. Caplan AI, Mason C, Reeve B. The 3Rs of Cell Therapy. *Stem Cells Transl Med*. 2017;6:17-21.
59. Sackett SD, Brown ME, Tremmel DM, Ellis T, Burlingham WJ, Odorico JS. Modulation of human allogeneic and syngeneic pluripotent stem cells and immunological implications for transplantation. *Transplant Rev (Orlando)*. 2016;30:61-70.
60. Piemonti L, Everly MJ, Maffi P, et al. Alloantibody and autoantibody monitoring predicts islet transplantation outcome in human type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2013;62:1656-64.
61. Brehm MA, Bortell R, Diiorio P, et al. Human immune system development and rejection of human islet allografts in spontaneously diabetic NOD-Rag1null IL2rgammanull Ins2Akita mice. *Diabetes*. 2010;59:2265-70.
62. Dai C, Kayton NS, Shostak A, et al. Stress-impaired transcription factor expression and insulin secretion in transplanted human islets. *J Clin Invest*. 2016;126:1857-70.
63. Graham ML, Prescott MJ. The multifactorial role of the 3Rs in shifting the harm-benefit analysis in animal models of disease. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2015;759:19-29.
64. Shirasaki Y, Yoshioka N, Kanazawa K, Maekawa T, Horikawa T, Hayashi T. Effect of physical restraint on glucose tolerance in cynomolgus monkeys. *J Med Primatol*. 2013;42:165-8.
65. Graham ML, Schuurman HJ. Validity of animal models of type 1 diabetes, and strategies to enhance their utility in translational research. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2015;759:221-30.

66. HipSci: Human induced pluripotent stem cell initiative. www.hipsci.org. Accessed December 26, 2017.
67. EBISC: European Bank for induced pluripotent stem cells. www.ebisc.org. Accessed December 26, 2017.
68. UKRMP: The UK regenerative medicine platform. www.ukrmp.org.uk. Accessed December 26, 2017.

ACCEPTED

Table 1: Unmet Needs and Future Directions in Stem Cell-Derived Beta Cell Technology

- Stem cell-derived beta cells do not have robust physiologic function.
- Stem cell-derived islet-like clusters do not contain the full complement of endocrine cells.
- More efficient low-cost differentiation methods are needed.
- An effective delivery device that can support physiologic function and prevent immune attack has yet to be defined.
- The optimal site for implantation has not been established.
- Better T1D autoimmunity experimental models are needed for testing human cells.
- Understanding which genetic modifications and therapies could allow cells to evade immune destruction, suppress immune responses, enhance functionality or better sustain the viability of cells after transplantation is vital to the future development of this technology.

ACCEPTED